## letters to nature

## Direct test of the constancy of fundamental nuclear constants

THE possibility that fundamental nuclear constants may vary slowly while the Universe expands has been discussed by several authors<sup>1-5</sup>. I try here to show that the well known resonance properties of the 'heavy nucleus plus slow neutron' system make it a sensitive 'receiver', sharply tuned to the current values of nuclear constants.

What are the restrictions, imposed by experiment that during the time interval  $\Delta T$  the resonance energy shift had not exceeded  $\Delta E_{exp}$ ? Simple estimates of residual interaction matrix elements suggest that for the overwhelming majority of compound nucleus resonances one should expect their shifts to be not less than the single-particle resonance shift  $\Delta E_0$ . The latter is connected with the relative change in strong coupling constant  $g_s$ 

$$\frac{\Delta E_0}{V_0} \simeq \frac{\Delta g_s}{g_s} \tag{1}$$

where  $V_0$  denotes the depth of the nuclear potential well. Assuming  $dg_s/dt = \text{constant}$ , we get a restriction

$$\frac{1}{g_{\rm s}} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}g_{\rm s}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{\Delta T} \frac{\Delta E_{\rm exp}}{V_0} \tag{2}$$

The positions of many low lying resonances have been known to an accuracy of 10<sup>-3</sup> eV for quite a time<sup>6</sup>. Assuming  $V_0 \simeq 50$  Mev (ref. 7) and  $\Delta T \simeq 10$  yr we derive

$$\frac{1}{g_s} \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}g_s}{\mathrm{d}t} \right| \lesssim 2 \times 10^{-12} \,\mathrm{yr}^{-1} \tag{3}$$

which is the same result as that established by Davies on the basis of Dyson's cosmological argument5.

The Coulomb force increases the average internucleon distance by ~ 2.5% for A  $\simeq$  150 (ref. 7). Thus we obtain an estimate for the Coulomb coupling constant a 20 times higher than

Table 1 Comparison of upper bounds of the variation of nuclear constants		
	Dyson, Davies	Present work
$\frac{1/g_{s} dg_{s}/dt (yr^{-1})}{1/a da/dt (yr^{-1})}$ $\frac{1}{g_{w}} dg_{w}/dt (yr^{-1})$	$2 \times 10^{-12} \\ 2 \times 10^{-14} \\ 10^{-10}$	$5 \times 10^{-19} \\ 10^{-17} \\ 2 \times 10^{-12}$

from equation (2). The weak interaction contribution to the total energy of the nucleus is ~  $10^{-5}(\mu/m)^2$ , where  $\mu$  and m are the pion and nucleon mass, respectively8. The upper bound on the time variation of the weak coupling constant  $g_w$  is therefore  $5 \times 10^6$  times higher than for  $g_s$ .

The low lying resonance parameters determine the capture cross section for slow neutrons. So data on thermal cross section values in the remote past are of great interest. The recently discovered traces of ancient (1.8×109 yr old) natural nuclear reactors in the uranium deposits of Oklo (Gabon, West Africa)<sup>9,10</sup> have proved to be important in this respect.

The isotopic composition of Sm and Eu has been measured<sup>11</sup> for samples in the reactor core, irradiated by an independently determined<sup>12</sup> integrated flux of thermal neutrons  $\varphi t \simeq 10^{21}$ neutrons cm<sup>-2</sup>. Given ot and fission yields one can determine the capture cross-section values  $\simeq 1.8 \times 10^9$  yr ago. Three standard deviations give the possible range of the cross-section variation, which is connected with the resonance energy shift through the Breit-Wigner formula. One is thus led to the restriction  $|\Delta E_{exp}| \lesssim 0.05$  eV, and to the estimates of the upper bounds on the variation of fundamental nuclear constants shown in Table 1 along with the earlier limits of Dyson and Davies.

These estimates seem to exclude all variants of nuclear constants change based on Dirac's 'Large Numbers Hypothesis". It is, however, desirable to obtain as strict bounds on  $\Delta E_{exp}$  as possible. Precise measurements of the isotopic shifts for all rare-earth fission products in the reactor core are desirable in this respect.

I would like to express my gratitude to V. E. Bunakov, V. N. Efimov, A. N. Erykalov, V. A. Ruban, and especially to Yu. V. Petrov for discussions and comments.

A. I. SHLYAKHTER

Theory Department, Leningrad Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina Leningrad District, 188350 USSR

Received May 19; accepted September 3, 1976.

- Received May (9; accepted September 3, 1976.
  Dirac, P. A. M., Nature, 139, 323 (1937).
  Gamow, G., Phys. Rev. Lett., 19, 759 (1967).
  Dyson, F. J., in Aspects of Quantum Theory (edit. by Salam, A., and Wigner, E.), 213 (Cambridge University Press, London, 1972).
  Davies, P. C. W., J. Phys., A5, 1296 (1972).
  Brookhaven National Laboratory, BNI-325, 1 (1973).
  Bohr, A., and Mottelson, B., Nuclear Structure, vol. 1 (Addison Wesley, New York, 1969).
  Shapiro, I. S., Usp. fiz. Nauk, 95, 647 (1968).
  Naudet, R., Bilandes etudes au 1-ernual 1974. Bull. Inf. Sci. Tech. (Paris), 193 (1974).
  The Oklo Phenomenon. Proc. Symp., 32-37 June, 1975 (IAEA, Vienna, 1975).
  Dozol, J.-F., and Neuilly, M., ibid. p. 357.
  Neuilly, M., and Naudet, R., ibid. p. 541.

## Why measure astrophysical X-ray spectra?

MANY of the interesting results of X-ray astronomy such as the presence of compact sources in close binary systems, have been derived from light curve studies1, obtained with quite simple detectors. On the other hand, a high resolution spectrometer, one of the most sophisticated pieces of instrumentation, is almost invariably included in solar X-ray satellites, and is used increasingly in cosmic studies<sup>2</sup>. Here we wish to stress that even spectra of the highest resolution are of limited applicability in many important astrophysical problems and also perhaps to indicate the value of cost-effective planning of expensive instrumentation in general.

In addition to providing useful data through measurements of Doppler shifts and line profiles, a prime aim of high resolution spectrometry is the inference of source structure in terms of